UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/961,363	09/25/2001	Takenori Idehara	011350-287	5946
Platon N. Mand	7590 06/05/200 <b>lros</b>	EXAMINER		
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.			REFAI, RAMSEY	
P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/961,363	IDEHARA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ramsey Refai	3627		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be divided will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDOI	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 1     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ The 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 46-77 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 66-77 is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 46-65 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	awn from consideration.  /or election requirement.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sometion is required if the drawing(s) is contact to the drawing(s).	see 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5)  Notice of Informa 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/961,363 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

Responsive to Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed May 14, 2009. Claims 46 and 51 have been amended. Claims 66-77 remain withdrawn. Claims 46-65 are pending.

## Response to Argument

### Affidavit/Declaration

1. In light of the remarks and the chart correlating the foreign document with the claim language filed April 23, 2009, the 1.131 declaration filed November 9, 2007 appears to sufficiently disqualify Lazaridis et al as prior art based on the stamped date of March 2, 2000 noted on the foreign priority document.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 46-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Larsson et al (US 7,028,102).

Application/Control Number: 09/961,363 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

4. As per claim 46, Larsson et al teach a data transmission device to be used in a system including said data transmission device and a data receiving device which are connected to a data network and at least one portable terminal said data transmission device comprising:

a first transmission unit transmitting to said portable terminal without recourse to said data network (short range communication) a signal for obtaining device information (printer specification including address) from said data receiving device, the data receiving device information containing connection information for establishing a connection between said data transmission device and said data receiving device; a receiving unit for receiving the data receiving device information from said portable terminal without recourse to said data network; and a second transmission unit for transmitting to said data receiving device a signal for starting communication based on the device information using said data network (see at least column 11, lines 10-61, column 10, lines 22-45. fig 1).

- 5. As per claim 47, Larsson et al teach the second transmission unit transmits data to said data receiving device via said data network after establishing a connection with said data receiving device (see at least column 11, lines 10-61).
- 6. As per claim 48, Larsson et al teach said first transmission unit and said receiving unit transmit and receive data with said portable terminal via mobile telecommunication network (see at least column 7, lines 10-26).
- 7. As per claim 49, Larsson et al teach said connection information contains an identification code for identifying said data receiving device on said data network (see at least column 10, lines 22-45).
- 8. As per claim 50, Larsson et al teach said identification code is an IP address (see at least column 10, lines 22-45).

- 9. As per claim 53, Larsson et al teach said communication means for communicating in short distances is a wireless communication mean (see at least column 10, lines 22-45, fig 1)
- 10. As per claim 54 Larsson et al A data receiving device as claimed in claim 53, in which said communication means for communicating in short distances is based on either Bluetooth, IEEE 802.11, HomeRF, or IrDA (see at least column 10, lines 22-45).
- 11. As per claim 55, Larsson et al teach which said communication unit comprises a wired communication unit (see at least column 10, lines 22-45, fig 1).
- 12. As per claims 51-52 and 56-65, these claims contain similar limitations as claims 46-50 and 53-55 above and are therefore rejected under the same rationale.

### Conclusion

Examiner's Note: The Examiner has cited specific citations in the reference(s) as applied to the claim(s) above for the convenience of the Applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested that the Applicant, in preparing their response, fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramsey Refai whose telephone number is (571) 272-3975. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan Zeender can be reached on (571) 272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/961,363 Page 5

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ramsey Refai June 3, 2009 /Ramsey Refai/ Examiner, Art Unit 3627